

<p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.2em;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/593,065</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) MIZUNO ET AL.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner LI-WU CHANG</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 2129</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/593,065	Applicant(s) MIZUNO ET AL.	Examiner LI-WU CHANG	Art Unit 2129
Application No. 10/593,065	Applicant(s) MIZUNO ET AL.				
Examiner LI-WU CHANG	Art Unit 2129				

All Participants:

(1) LI-WU CHANG.

(2) JAMES STEIN.

Date of Interview: 20 April 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
6 and 8

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: non-final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

/L. C./
 Examiner, Art Unit 2129

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner initiated the interview with the applicants. The examiner and the applicant discussed a proposal to amend claims 6 and 8 to further distinguish over the prior art of record. The proposed amendment was accepted by applicants and is represented in the attached examiner's amendment. .